UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,697	10/23/2003	Krzysztof W. Przytula	HRL135	9790
28848 7590 03/04/2009 TOPE-MCKAY & ASSOCIATES 23852 PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY #311 MALIBU, CA 90265			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, SHAMBHAVI K	
MALIDO, CA 90203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2128	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/692,697	PRZYTULA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHAMBHAVI PATEL	2128
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 D</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under <u>B</u>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	wn from consideration. are rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/692,697 Page 2

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-9, 11-26, 28-43, 45-60 and 62-68 have been presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

2. In view of Applicant's supporting arguments, the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection and the prior art rejection are withdrawn, and an amended 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection is issued below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-9, 11-26, 28-43, 45-60 and 62-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claims 1, 18, 35 and 52, the limitation "outputting a representation of the plurality of the probabilities of the states of the conclusion node" is vague and indefinite. It is unclear what "states of the conclusion nodes" is referring too. Both the second and third limitation refer to different states of the conclusion nodes, and while the third limitation refers to probabilities, said probabilities are related to "resulting states" of the conclusion nodes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Regarding claim 1, the limitations only recite simulation a model, and the claim is neither explicitly nor inherently tied to a particular machine.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,697 Page 3

Art Unit: 2128

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-9, 11-26, 28-43, 45-60 and 62-68 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph and 35 U.S.C. 101 set forth in this Office action.

6. The following in an Examiner's statement of Reasons for Allowance:

Regarding claims 1, 18, 35 and 52:

The prior art of record does not disclose setting the states of the evidence nodes to states corresponding to the plurality of samples of the evidence states, and propagating the evidence states back up the causal dependency links to the conclusion nodes, to obtain a plurality of probabilities of the resulting states of the conclusion nodes.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,697 Page 4

Art Unit: 2128

7.

Conclusion

Examiner's Remarks: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied

to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the

teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures

may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the

references in their entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the

passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner. In the case of amending the claimed invention,

Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied

on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to Shambhavi Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-5877. The examiner can normally be reached on

Monday-Friday, 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah

can be reached on (571) 272-22792279. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR

or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Kamini S Shah/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128

SKP